#### Un sabor de la teoría de cuerdas

#### Saúl Ramos-Sánchez UNAM

Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas — MCTP

Octubre 10, 2016

En colaboración con B. Carballo-Pérez & E. Peinado: arXiv:1607.06812

Saúl Ramos-Sánchez – UNAM A stringy flavor

#### Referee report

The authors of JHEP\_061P\_0816 have presented a novel synthesis of "topdown" and "bottom-up" approaches to motivate a string-inspired flavor model with the finite symmetry  $\Delta(54)$ . Although the model presented is preliminary and incomplete (Eq. 17), it leads to an interesting correlation between the atmospheric and reactor angles in the neutrino sector, and definite predictions for the neutrino parameters at the edges of their best fit values which could soon be ruled out by more precise measurements. As the article contains falsifiable predictions for the neutrino parameters and a well motivated UV completion which restricts some of the arbitrariness of the model-building, I believe it to be suitable for publication in JHEP upon minor revisions and the correspondence of the authors on the following points:

#### Referee report

The authors of JHEP\_061P\_0816 have presented a Noisel synthesis of "topdown" and "bottom-up" approaches to motivate a string-inspired flavor model with the finite symmetry  $\Delta(54)$ . Although the model presented is preliminary and incomplete (Eq. 17), it leads to an interesting correlation between the atmospheric and reactor angles in the neutrino sector, and definite predictions for the neutrino parameters at the edges of their best fit values which could soon be ruled out by more precise measurements. As the article contains falsifiable predictions for the neutrino parameters and a well motivated UV completion which restricts some of the arbitrariness of the model-building, I believe it to be suitable for publication in JHEP upon minor revisions and the correspondence of the authors on the following points:

• Need to explain { three flavors of SM particles observed mass patterns CKM, PMNS phases neutrino physics suppression of proton decay

Need to explain

 Need to explain

 CKM, PMNS phases

 neutrino physics

 suppression of proton decay

• Recipe: extend the SM or GUT gauge group (gauge group)  $\times G$ 

Need to explain
 three flavors of SM particles observed mass patterns CKM, PMNS phases neutrino physics suppression of proton decay ...

- Recipe: extend the SM or GUT gauge group (gauge group)  $\times G$
- G non-Abelian?

 $\Rightarrow$  various multidimensional irreps (interrelate families)

• Need to explain Kneed to explain CKM, PMNS phases neutrino physics suppression of proton decay ...

- Recipe: extend the SM or GUT gauge group (gauge group)  $\times G$
- G non-Abelian?

 $\Rightarrow$  various multidimensional irreps (interrelate families)

• G discrete?

 $\Rightarrow$  no signal of flavored gauge bosons

Need to explain
 three flavors of SM particles observed mass patterns
 CKM, PMNS phases neutrino physics suppression of proton decay
 ...

- Recipe: extend the SM or GUT gauge group (gauge group)  $\times G$
- G non-Abelian?

⇒ various multidimensional irreps (interrelate families)

• G discrete?

 $\Rightarrow$  no signal of flavored gauge bosons

• three generations?

 $\Rightarrow$  triplet of  $G \longrightarrow G \subset SU(3)_{flavor}$ ?

Need to explain
 three flavors of SM particles observed mass patterns
 CKM, PMNS phases neutrino physics suppression of proton decay
 ...

- Recipe: extend the SM or GUT gauge group (gauge group)  $\times G$
- G non-Abelian?

⇒ various multidimensional irreps (interrelate families)

• G discrete?

 $\Rightarrow$  no signal of flavored gauge bosons

• three generations?

 $\Rightarrow$  triplet of  $G \longrightarrow G \subset SU(3)_{flavor}$ ?

Observation:  $\mathbb{Z}_3, S_3, \Delta(27), \Delta(54) \subset SU(3)$ 

 $\mathsf{Flavor}\ \mathsf{Symmetries} = \mathsf{Discrete}\ \mathsf{symmetries}\ \mathsf{between}\ \mathsf{generations}\ \mathsf{in}\ \mathcal{L}$ 

$$G = D_4, S_4, A_4, D_5, P_6, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_3, S_3, \Delta(27), \dots$$

1

Aranda et al.; Fileviez Pérez; Pakvasa & Sugawara; Wyler; Frampton; Babu & Kubo; Mohan Parattu & Wingerter... Kubo, Mondragón, Mondragón & Jáuregui; Mondragón & Gómez Izquierdo; Meloni, Morisi & Peinado

Spontaneous breaking (associated with Higgs mechanism or SUSY)

- predictions of neutrino mixings
- origin of hierarchies
- origin of textures
- origin of dark matter

...

 $\mathsf{Flavor}\ \mathsf{Symmetries} = \mathsf{Discrete}\ \mathsf{symmetries}\ \mathsf{between}\ \mathsf{generations}\ \mathsf{in}\ \mathcal{L}$ 

$$G = D_4, S_4, A_4, D_5, P_6, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_3, S_3, \Delta(27), \dots$$

∜

Aranda et al.; Fileviez Pérez; Pakvasa & Sugawara; Wyler; Frampton; Babu & Kubo; Mohan Parattu & Wingerter... Kubo, Mondragón, Mondragón & Jáuregui; Mondragón & Gómez Izquierdo; Meloni, Morisi & Peinado

Spontaneous breaking (associated with Higgs mechanism or SUSY)

- predictions of neutrino mixings
- origin of hierarchies
- origin of textures

**•** . . .

origin of dark matter

Unfortunately

 $\Delta(54)$  is almost unexplored!  $\bigcirc$ 

 $\mathsf{Flavor}\ \mathsf{Symmetries} = \mathsf{Discrete}\ \mathsf{symmetries}\ \mathsf{between}\ \mathsf{generations}\ \mathsf{in}\ \mathcal{L}$ 

$$G = D_4, S_4, A_4, D_5, P_6, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_3, S_3, \Delta(27), \dots$$

∜

Aranda et al.; Fileviez Pérez; Pakvasa & Sugawara; Wyler; Frampton; Babu & Kubo; Mohan Parattu & Wingerter... Kubo, Mondragón, Mondragón & Jáuregui; Mondragón & Gómez Izquierdo; Meloni, Morisi & Peinado

Spontaneous breaking (associated with Higgs mechanism or SUSY)

predictions of neutrino mixings

- origin of hierarchies
- origin of textures

...

origin of dark matter

Our purpose HERE:

Explore potential of  $\Delta(54)$  and its origin  $\textcircled{\odot}$ 

• **Problem:** Where does *G* come from?

(just artificial?)

• **Problem:** Where does G come from? (just artificial?)

Solution: (4+6)D string theory!

additional discrete symmetries due to compact dimensions

• **Problem:** Where does G come from? (just artificial?)

Solution: (4+6)D string theory!

additional discrete symmetries due to compact dimensions

#### geometry $\rightarrow$ symmetry

Discrete non-Abelian flavor symmetries can arise from the geometric structure of string compactifications!





Saúl Ramos-Sánchez – UNAM A stringy flavor

# Strings

1970's:



1980's: Superstring theories (susy + strings)

- type I
- type IIA
- type IIB
- Heterotic E<sub>8</sub>×E<sub>8</sub>
  Heterotic SO(32)

- ightarrow gauge bosons + 10D  $\mathcal{N}=1$  SUSY
- $\rightarrow$  includes gravity; no anomalies (nor tachyons)

• compactifications:

$$\mathcal{M}^{9,1} = \mathcal{M}^{3,1} \otimes X_6$$

•  $X_6$ : Calabi-Yau threefolds

$$\operatorname{vol}(X_6) \sim \ell_{Pl}^6, \quad \mathcal{N} = 1$$

Candelas, Horowitz, Strominger, Witten (1985)



• compactifications:

 $\mathcal{M}^{9,1} = \mathcal{M}^{3,1} \otimes X_6$ 

- **1**  $X_6$ : Calabi-Yau threefolds
- 2  $X_6$ : warped spaces/manifolds

$$\operatorname{vol}(X_6) \sim \ell_{Pl}^6, \quad \mathcal{N} = 1$$

Candelas, Horowitz, Strominger, Witten (1985)

• compactifications:

 $\mathcal{M}^{9,1} = \mathcal{M}^{3,1} \otimes X_6 \qquad \text{vol}(X_6) \sim \ell_{Pl}^6, \quad \mathcal{N} = 1$ 

 $\bigcirc$  X<sub>6</sub>: Calabi-Yau threefolds

Candelas, Horowitz, Strominger, Witten (1985)

- 2  $X_6$ : warped spaces/manifolds
- Seneralized CY, half-flat,  $SU(3) \times SU(3)$  manifolds, ...

• compactifications:



Saúl Ramos-Sánchez – UNAM

A stringy flavor

• compactifications:

 $\mathcal{M}^{9,1} = \mathcal{M}^{3,1} \otimes X_6$ 

X<sub>6</sub>: Calabi-Yau threefolds

$$\operatorname{vol}(X_6) \sim \ell_{Pl}^6, \quad \mathcal{N} = 1$$

Candelas, Horowitz, Strominger, Witten (1985)

- 2  $X_6$ : warped spaces/manifolds
- 3 Generalized CY, half-flat,  $SU(3) \times SU(3)$  manifolds, ...
- $\bigcirc$  X<sub>6</sub>: orbifolds

Dixon, Harvey, Vafa, Witten (1985)



• compactifications:

 $\mathcal{M}^{9,1} = \mathcal{M}^{3,1} \otimes X_6$ 

X<sub>6</sub>: Calabi-Yau threefolds

$$\operatorname{vol}(X_6) \sim \ell_{Pl}^6, \quad \mathcal{N} = 1$$

Candelas, Horowitz, Strominger, Witten (1985)

- 2 X<sub>6</sub>: warped spaces/manifolds
  3 Generalized CY, *half-flat*, SU(3)×SU(3) manifolds, ...
- $X_6$ : orbifolds

Dixon, Harvey, Vafa, Witten (1985)



• compactifications:

 $\mathcal{M}^{9,1} = \mathcal{M}^{3,1} \otimes X_6$ 

•  $X_6$ : Calabi-Yau threefolds

$$\operatorname{vol}(X_6) \sim \ell_{Pl}^6, \quad \mathcal{N} = 1$$

Candelas, Horowitz, Strominger, Witten (1985)

Dixon, Harvey, Vafa, Witten (1985)

- *X*<sub>6</sub>: warped spaces/manifolds
  Generalized CY, *half-flat*, SU(3)×SU(3) manifolds, ...
  - **a**  $X_6$ : orbifolds

• D-brane worlds (in type I & II):



## Framework: toroidal orbifolds

Orbifold:

$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{\text{compact manifold } \mathcal{M}}{\text{discrete group of isometries } I}$$

Toroidal Orbifold:

$$\mathcal{M} = T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda$$

### Framework: toroidal orbifolds

Orbifold:

$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{\text{compact manifold } \mathcal{M}}{\text{discrete group of isometries } I}$$

Toroidal Orbifold:

$$\mathcal{M} = T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda$$

E.g. 2D torus  $T^2$ 



## Framework: toroidal orbifolds

Orbifold:

$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{\text{compact manifold } \mathcal{M}}{\text{discrete group of isometries } I}$$

Toroidal Orbifold:

$$\mathcal{M} = T^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda$$

$$I = \begin{cases} \text{Abelian, e.g. } \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \\ \text{non-Abelian} \end{cases}$$

 $g \in I \implies g = (\Theta, v)$  such that  $gX = \Theta X + v$ where  $\Theta = \vartheta^p \omega^q$  for  $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_M$ 

## 2D toroidal orbifolds and fixed points

2D  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  orbifolds



Three types of *closed strings*:

ordinarily closed, closed on the torus, closed under the orbifold



Three types of *closed strings*:

ordinarily closed, closed on the torus, closed under the orbifold



Three types of *closed strings*:

ordinarily closed, closed on the torus, closed under the orbifold



Three types of *closed strings*:

ordinarily closed, closed on the torus, closed under the orbifold



Twisted strings located at fixed points  $\rightarrow$  LEEF states localized

Three types of *closed strings*:

ordinarily closed, closed on the torus, closed under the orbifold



Twisted strings located at fixed points  $\rightarrow$  LEEF states localized

## Selection rules: localization (*space group*)

• Interacting strings join for the time they interact:



## Selection rules: localization (*space group*)

• Interacting strings join for the time they interact:



## Selection rules: localization (*space group*)

• Interacting strings join for the time they interact:


• Interacting strings join for the time they interact:



$$g_2 g_1 = \tilde{g}_2 \,\tilde{g}_1$$

for n interacting strings:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} g_i = 1$$

• Interacting strings join for the time they interact:



$$g_2 g_1 = \tilde{g}_2 \,\tilde{g}_1$$

for n interacting strings:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} g_i = \mathbb{1}$$

space group selection rule:  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, n_{\alpha}^{(j)} e_{\alpha}) = (\mathbb{1}, \bigcup_{j} (\mathbb{1} - \vartheta^{p^{(j)}}) \Lambda)$ 

- Space group rule:  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, n_{\alpha}^{(j)} e_{\alpha}) = (\mathbb{1}, \bigcup_{j} (\mathbb{1} \vartheta^{p^{(j)}}) \Lambda)$ localization of states  $\iff$  coupling allowed or not
- LEEF interpretation:

$$I \ni (\vartheta^p, \, n_\alpha e_\alpha) \iff |(\vartheta^p, \, n_\alpha e_\alpha)\rangle \cong |p, n_\alpha\rangle$$

- Space group rule:  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, n_{\alpha}^{(j)} e_{\alpha}) = (\mathbb{1}, \bigcup_{j} (\mathbb{1} \vartheta^{p^{(j)}})\Lambda)$ localization of states  $\iff$  coupling allowed or not
- LEEF interpretation:

$$I \ni (\vartheta^p, n_\alpha e_\alpha) \iff |(\vartheta^p, n_\alpha e_\alpha)\rangle \cong |p, n_\alpha\rangle$$

Stringy space group selection rule  $\iff$  LEEF symmetry (Z) of the couplings, acting on the charges  $p, n_{\alpha}$ .

See how this works!

### space group rule

relabeling



#### space group rule

### relabeling



#### space group rule

relabeling

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & e/2 & e \\ \hline & & & \\ |m = 0 \rangle & |m = 1 \rangle \end{array}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, m^{(j)}e) = (\vartheta^{\sum_{j} p^{(j)}}, (\sum_{j} m^{(j)})e) \\ & \stackrel{!}{=} (\mathbb{1}, (0 \mod 2)e) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

#### space group rule

relabeling

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & e/2 & e \\ \hline & & & \\ |m = 0 \rangle & |m = 1 \rangle \end{array}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, m^{(j)}e) = (\vartheta^{\sum_{j} p^{(j)}}, (\sum_{j} m^{(j)})e) \\ & \stackrel{!}{=} (\mathbb{1}, (0 \mod 2)e) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ | \text{space group rule } \mathbb{Z}_{2}'s: \\ \Phi \longmapsto \{\sigma_{3}, -1\}\Phi$$

#### space group rule

### relabeling

degenerate fixed points (no Wilson lines)

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & e/2 & e \\
|m = 0\rangle & |m = 1\rangle \\
\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, m^{(j)}e) = (\vartheta^{\sum_{j} p^{(j)}}, (\sum_{j} m^{(j)})e) \\
&\stackrel{!}{=} (\mathbb{1}, (0 \mod 2)e)
\end{array}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ \mathbf{space \ group \ rule \ }\mathbb{Z}_{2}'s:\\ \Phi \longmapsto \{\sigma_{3}, -1\}\Phi \end{pmatrix}$$

#### space group rule

### relabeling

degenerate fixed points (no Wilson lines)





$$\begin{split} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, m^{(j)}e) &= (\vartheta^{\sum_{j} p^{(j)}}, (\sum_{j} m^{(j)})e) \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} (\mathbbm{1}, (0 \mod 2)e) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |0$$

#### space group rule

### relabeling

 $|m=0\rangle$   $|m=1\rangle$  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, m^{(j)}e) = (\vartheta^{\sum_{j} p^{(j)}}, (\sum_{i} m^{(j)})e) \implies \text{relabeling symmetry } \boldsymbol{S_2}:$ 

$$\stackrel{!}{=} (1, (0 \mod 2)e)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  two  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 's acting on m, p charges:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|0\rangle \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ \\ \text{space group rule } \mathbb{Z}_{2}\text{'s:} \\ \Phi \longmapsto \{\sigma_{3}, -1\}\Phi \end{pmatrix}$$

degenerate fixed points (no Wilson lines)



(permutation symmetry)

 $m \mapsto (m+1) \mod 2$  $\left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathbf{0}\rangle\\ |\mathbf{1}\rangle\end{array}\right)\mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathbf{0}\rangle\\ |\mathbf{1}\rangle\end{array}\right)$ 

#### space group rule

### relabeling

e/2 $|m = 0\rangle$   $|m = 1\rangle$  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\vartheta^{p^{(j)}}, m^{(j)}e) = (\vartheta^{\sum_{j} p^{(j)}}, (\sum_{i} m^{(j)})e) \implies \text{relabeling symmetry } S_2:$ 

$$\stackrel{!}{=} (1, (0 \mod 2)e)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  two  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 's acting on m, p charges:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle\\|1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ |0\rangle\\| space group rule \mathbb{Z}_{2}'s:\\ \Phi \longmapsto \{\sigma_{3}, -1\}\Phi \end{pmatrix}$$

degenerate fixed points (no Wilson lines)



(permutation symmetry)

$$\begin{array}{c} m \mapsto (m+1) \mod 2 \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} |\mathbf{0}\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{array} \right) \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} |\mathbf{0}\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

relabeling symmetry  $S_2$ :  $\Phi \mapsto \sigma_1 \Phi$ 

• Invariance of couplings under:



• Invariance of couplings under:



 $G= {f S_2} \ltimes ({f Z_2} imes {f Z_2}) = D_4 \hspace{0.2cm} ($ symmetry of a square)

• Invariance of couplings under:



 $G= {f S_2} \ltimes ({f Z_2} imes {f Z_2}) = D_4 ~~{}($ symmetry of a square)

### space group rule



#### space group rule



#### space group rule



#### space group rule



### space group rule sym: $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$





• Invariance of couplings under:



• Invariance of couplings under:



• There is a *normal subgroup*  $N = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \subset G$ 

 $G = S_3 \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) = \Delta(54)$ 

- Construction kit:
  - **()** specify 6D geometry  $\Lambda, I$
  - $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{2} & \text{space group rule} & \rightarrow & Z \\ \text{relabeling} & \rightarrow & S \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow S \cup Z$

Solution Flavor symmetry  $G = S \ltimes Z$ 

- Construction kit:
  - specify 6D geometry A, I
     space group rule  $\rightarrow Z$ relabeling  $\rightarrow S$  Flavor symmetry  $G = S \ltimes Z$

• Since  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_3 \to G = \Delta(54)$ , search 6D orbifolds with such structure

- Construction kit:
  - $\begin{array}{c|c} \bullet & \text{specify 6D geometry } \Lambda, I \\ \bullet & \text{space group rule} & \to & Z \\ & \text{relabeling} & \to & S \\ \bullet & S \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{S} S \cup Z \\ \hline$
- Since  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_3 \to G = \Delta(54)$ , search 6D orbifolds with such structure
- Simplest options:  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$

- Construction kit:
  - $\begin{array}{c|c} \bullet & \text{specify 6D geometry } \Lambda, I \\ \bullet & \text{space group rule} & \to & Z \\ & \text{relabeling} & \to & S \\ \bullet & S \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{S} S \cup Z \\ \hline$
- Since  $T^2/\mathbb{Z}_3 \to G = \Delta(54),$  search 6D orbifolds with such structure
- Simplest options:  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 imes \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 imes \mathbb{Z}_3$
- BUT no promising models in  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  with  $\Delta(54)$ Lebedev,Ratz,SR-S,Vaudrevange (2008)

Investigate  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  orbifolds!

## Flavor symmetries in $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$

• If all fixed points are degenerate, flavor symmetry is large:

$$G = S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3 \ltimes \left[ \mathbb{Z}_3^\vartheta \times \mathbb{Z}_3^\omega \times (\mathbb{Z}_3^m)^3 \right]$$

### Flavor symmetries in $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$

• If all fixed points are degenerate, flavor symmetry is large:

$$G = S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3 \ltimes \left[ \mathbb{Z}_3^{\vartheta} \times \mathbb{Z}_3^{\omega} \times (\mathbb{Z}_3^m)^3 \right]$$

 In Z<sub>3</sub>×Z<sub>3</sub> heterotic orbifolds, up to 3 Wilson lines (WLs) allowed WLs break degeneracy of fixed points

Each chosen  $WL \neq 0$  breaks one  $S_3$ 

### Flavor symmetries in $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 imes \mathbb{Z}_3$

• If all fixed points are degenerate, flavor symmetry is large:

$$G = S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3 \ltimes \left[ \mathbb{Z}_3^{\vartheta} \times \mathbb{Z}_3^{\omega} \times (\mathbb{Z}_3^m)^3 \right]$$

 In Z<sub>3</sub>×Z<sub>3</sub> heterotic orbifolds, up to 3 Wilson lines (WLs) allowed WLs break degeneracy of fixed points

Each chosen  $WL \neq 0$  breaks one  $S_3$ 

Models with 2 WLs lead to flavor

$$G = S_3 \ltimes \left[ \mathbb{Z}_3^\vartheta \times \mathbb{Z}_3^\omega \times (\mathbb{Z}_3^m)^3 \right] \supset \mathbf{\Delta}(54) \ \bigcirc$$

### Flavor symmetries in $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$

• If all fixed points are degenerate, flavor symmetry is large:

$$G = S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3 \ltimes \left[ \mathbb{Z}_3^\vartheta \times \mathbb{Z}_3^\omega \times (\mathbb{Z}_3^m)^3 \right]$$

 In Z<sub>3</sub>×Z<sub>3</sub> heterotic orbifolds, up to 3 Wilson lines (WLs) allowed WLs break degeneracy of fixed points

Each chosen  $WL \neq 0$  breaks one  $S_3$ 

Models with 2 WLs lead to flavor

$$G = \frac{S_3}{S_3} \ltimes \left[ \mathbb{Z}_3^\vartheta \times \mathbb{Z}_3^\omega \times (\mathbb{Z}_3^m)^3 \right] \supset \mathbf{\Delta}(54) \ \bigcirc$$

Models with 3 WLs lead only to Abelian flavor

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_3^\vartheta \times \mathbb{Z}_3^\omega \times (\mathbb{Z}_3^m)^3$$

 $\textcircled{\sc 0}$  Determine all (inequivalent)  $E_8 \times E_8$  gauge embeddings in heterotic string

$$\vartheta \to V, \omega \to W, e_{\alpha} \to A_{\alpha}$$

• Determine all (inequivalent)  $E_8 \times E_8$  gauge embeddings in heterotic string

$$\vartheta \to V, \omega \to W, e_{\alpha} \to A_{\alpha}$$

- Select semi-realistic models
  - 4D gauge group =  $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times G_{hidden}$
  - 3 generations of quarks and leptons + a pair  $H_u, H_d$

• 
$$\sin^2 \theta_w(M_{GUT}) = 3/8$$

only SM-vectorlike extra matter

• Determine all (inequivalent)  $E_8 \times E_8$  gauge embeddings in heterotic string

$$\vartheta \to V, \omega \to W, e_{\alpha} \to A_{\alpha}$$

- Select semi-realistic models
- **③** Choose models with  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry

• Determine all (inequivalent)  $E_8 \times E_8$  gauge embeddings in heterotic string

$$\vartheta \to V, \omega \to W, e_{\alpha} \to A_{\alpha}$$

- Select semi-realistic models
- **③** Choose models with  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry
- **③** Identify the stringy restrictions on  $\Delta(54)$  quantum numbers of matter

• Determine all (inequivalent)  $E_8 \times E_8$  gauge embeddings in heterotic string

$$\vartheta \to V, \omega \to W, e_{\alpha} \to A_{\alpha}$$

- Select semi-realistic models
- $\textcircled{O} Choose models with $\Delta(54)$ flavor symmetry$
- **③** Identify the stringy restrictions on  $\Delta(54)$  quantum numbers of matter
- Obtermine the related non-SUSY Lagrangian under specific vacua
# Procedure to study stringy models with $\Delta(54)$

• Determine all (inequivalent)  $E_8 \times E_8$  gauge embeddings in heterotic string

$$\vartheta \to V, \omega \to W, e_{\alpha} \to A_{\alpha}$$

- Select semi-realistic models
- **③** Choose models with  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry
- **(**) Identify the stringy restrictions on  $\Delta(54)$  quantum numbers of matter
- Determine the related non-SUSY Lagrangian under specific vacua
- **③** Allow for spontaneous breakdown of  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry

# Procedure to study stringy models with $\Delta(54)$

• Determine all (inequivalent)  $E_8 \times E_8$  gauge embeddings in heterotic string

 $\vartheta \to V, \omega \to W, e_{\alpha} \to A_{\alpha}$ 

- Select semi-realistic models
- **③** Choose models with  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry
- **(**) Identify the stringy restrictions on  $\Delta(54)$  quantum numbers of matter
- Determine the related non-SUSY Lagrangian under specific vacua
- **③** Allow for spontaneous breakdown of  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry
- Study the low-energy consequences for quarks and leptons

 $\rightarrow$  predictions?

# $Orbifolder\ needed\ as\ a\ tool\ {\scriptstyle (Nilles,\ SR-S,\ Vaudrevange,\ Wingerter,\ 2011)}$

The Orbifolder - Mozilla Firefox      File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help      The Orbifolder      The Orbifolder      ()	A. 6	2 coodla	0	
Most Visited • Octting Started Latest Headlines •	S Van De Graaff		~ 1	
			i)	
	orbifolder on-line download compiled prompt			
	complementary notes			
ORBIFOLDER	help   abo	ut   contact us		
iavascript://		Orbifolder Version: 1.2 (Feb 29, 2012) platform: linux dependencies: Boost, GSL license: GNU GPL by: Hans Peter Nilles, Saúl Ramos-Sánchez, Patrick K.S. Vaudrevange Akin Wingerter	-	

We found over  $7 \times 10^6$  admissible gauge embeddings:

only 789 semi-realistic models\*

We found over  $7 \times 10^6$  admissible gauge embeddings:

- only 789 semi-realistic models\*
  - 12 with 3 WLs (Abelian flavor)
  - **2** 81 with 1 WL (G much larger than  $\Delta(54)$ ) **3** 696 with 2 WLs  $\rightarrow \Delta(54) \subset G$

We found over  $7 \times 10^6$  admissible gauge embeddings:

- only 789 semi-realistic models\*
  - 12 with 3 WLs (Abelian flavor)
  - 2 81 with 1 WL (G much larger than  $\Delta(54)$ )
  - **3** 696 with 2 WLs  $\rightarrow \Delta(54) \subset G$

•  $\Delta(54)$  favored as flavor symmetry in  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  orbifolds

 $\odot$ 

We found over  $7 \times 10^6$  admissible gauge embeddings:

- only 789 semi-realistic models\*
  - 12 with 3 WLs (Abelian flavor)
  - 2 81 with 1 WL (G much larger than  $\Delta(54)$ )
  - **3** 696 with 2 WLs  $\rightarrow \Delta(54) \subset G$
- $\Delta(54)$  favored as flavor symmetry in  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  orbifolds

 $\odot$ 

• Only possible  $\Delta(54)$  representations:  $\mathbf{1}_0, \mathbf{3}_{11}, \mathbf{3}_{12}$ 

We found over  $7 \times 10^6$  admissible gauge embeddings:

- only 789 semi-realistic models\*
  - 12 with 3 WLs (Abelian flavor)
  - 2 81 with 1 WL (G much larger than  $\Delta(54)$ )
  - **3** 696 with 2 WLs  $\rightarrow \Delta(54) \subset G$
- $\Delta(54)$  favored as flavor symmetry in  $\mathbb{Z}_3 imes \mathbb{Z}_3$  orbifolds
- Only possible  $\Delta(54)$  representations:  $\mathbf{1}_0, \mathbf{3}_{11}, \mathbf{3}_{12}$
- SM quarks and leptons generically in triplets

explanation for three generations!!

(:)

We found over  $7 \times 10^6$  admissible gauge embeddings:

- only 789 semi-realistic models\*
  - 12 with 3 WLs (Abelian flavor)
  - 2 81 with 1 WL (G much larger than  $\Delta(54)$ )
  - $\bigcirc$  696 with 2 WLs  $\rightarrow \Delta(54) \subset G$
- $\Delta(54)$  favored as flavor symmetry in  $\mathbb{Z}_3 imes \mathbb{Z}_3$  orbifolds
- Only possible  $\Delta(54)$  representations:  $\mathbf{1}_0, \mathbf{3}_{11}, \mathbf{3}_{12}$
- SM quarks and leptons generically in triplets

#### explanation for three generations!!

(:)

\* Numbers compatible with Nilles,Vaudrevange (2014), but we find many more models  $~~\ominus$ 

# $\Delta(54)$ stringy example

# Example

# $\Delta(54)$ string spectrum

#	irrep	$\Delta(54)$	label	#	anti-irrep	$\Delta(54)$	label
3	$(3, 2)_{\frac{1}{2}}$	<b>3</b> <sub>11</sub>	$Q_i$				
3	$(\bar{\bf 3}, {\bf 1})^{6}_{-\frac{2}{2}}$	<b>3</b> <sub>11</sub>	$\bar{u}_i$				
3	$(\overline{3},1)_{\frac{1}{2}}$	${\bf 3}_{11}$	$\bar{d}_i$				
3	$(1, 2)^{\circ}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	<b>3</b> <sub>11</sub>	$L_i$				
3	$(1,1)_1^2$	<b>3</b> <sub>11</sub>	$\bar{e}_i$				
3	$(1, 1)_0$	$3_{12}$	$\bar{\nu}_i$				
1	$(1,2)_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	$1_0$	$H_d$	1	$(1, 2)_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$1_{0}$	$H_u$
			Flavons				
3	$(1, 1)_0$	${f 3}_{11}$	$\phi_i^u$				
3	$(1, 1)_0$	${f 3}_{11}$	$\phi_i^{d,e}$				
3	$(1, 1)_0$	${\bf 3}_{12}$	$\bar{\phi}_i^{\nu}$				
2	$(1, 1)_0$	$2 \cdot 1_0$	$s^{(d,e)},s^u$				
128	$(1, 1)_0$	$77 \cdot 1_0 + 16 \cdot 3_{12} + 3_{11}$	$N_i$				
			Exotic states				
16	$(1, 2)_{\frac{1}{6}}$	$10 \cdot 1_0 + 2 \cdot 3_{12}$	$v_i$	16	$(1, 2)_{-\frac{1}{6}}$	$4 \cdot 1_0 + 4 \cdot 3_{12}$	$\bar{v}_i$
3	$({\bf 3},{\bf 1})_0$	${\bf 3}_{12}$	$y_i$	3	$(\overline{3},1)_0$	$3 \times 1_0$	$\bar{y}_i$
1	$(\overline{3},1)_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	$1_0$	$z_i$	1	$(3,1)_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$1_0$	$\bar{z}_i$
7	$(1, 1)_{\frac{2}{2}}$	$4\cdot 1_0 + 3_{12}$	$x_i$	7	$(1, 1)\frac{3}{2}$	$4\cdot 1_0 + 3_{11}$	$\bar{x}_i$
51	$(1,1)_{-\frac{1}{3}}^{3}$	$30\cdot 1_0 + 7\cdot 3_{12}$	$w_i$	51	$(1, 1)\frac{3}{\frac{1}{3}}$	$24\cdot 1_0 + 9\cdot 3_{12}$	$\bar{w}_i$

$$\begin{split} W_{Y} &= y_{ijk}^{u}Q_{i}H_{u}\bar{u}_{j}\phi_{k}^{u}s_{u} + y_{ijk}^{d}Q_{i}H_{d}\bar{d}_{j}\phi_{k}^{(d,e)}s^{(d,e)} + y_{ijk}^{e}L_{i}H_{d}\bar{e}_{j}\phi_{k}^{(d,e)}s^{(d,e)} \\ &+ y_{ijkl}^{\nu}L_{i}H_{u}\bar{\nu}_{j} + \lambda_{ijk}\bar{\nu}_{i}\bar{\nu}_{j}\bar{\phi}_{k}^{\nu}, \qquad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \end{split}$$

# $\Delta(54)$ stringy phenomenology

# $\Delta(54)$ Phenomenology

From the superpotential  $W_Y$ , quark and charged-lepton Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{f} &= y_{1}^{f} \left[ F_{1}H\bar{f}_{1}\phi_{1} + F_{2}H\bar{f}_{2}\phi_{2} + F_{3}H\bar{f}_{3}\phi_{3} \right] \\ &+ y_{2}^{f} \left[ (F_{1}H\bar{f}_{2} + F_{2}H\bar{f}_{1})\phi_{3} + (F_{3}H\bar{f}_{1} + F_{1}H\bar{f}_{3})\phi_{2} + (F_{2}H\bar{f}_{3} + F_{3}H\bar{f}_{2})\phi_{1} \right] + h.c. \,, \end{aligned}$$

 $F_i$ : LH fermion,  $f_i$ : RH fermion, H: Higgs,  $\phi_i$ : flavon scalar

From the superpotential  $W_Y$ , quark and charged-lepton Lagrangian is

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_Y^f &= y_1^f \left[ F_1 H \bar{f}_1 \phi_1 + F_2 H \bar{f}_2 \phi_2 + F_3 H \bar{f}_3 \phi_3 \right] \\ &+ y_2^f \left[ (F_1 H \bar{f}_2 + F_2 H \bar{f}_1) \phi_3 + (F_3 H \bar{f}_1 + F_1 H \bar{f}_3) \phi_2 + (F_2 H \bar{f}_3 + F_3 H \bar{f}_2) \phi_1 \right] + h.c. \,, \end{split}$$

 $F_i$ : LH fermion,  $f_i$ : RH fermion, H: Higgs,  $\phi_i$ : flavon scalar  $\Rightarrow$ <u>down-</u>quark and charged-lepton masses

$$M_{f}^{D} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1}^{f}\phi_{1}^{f} & y_{2}^{f}\phi_{3}^{f} & y_{2}^{f}\phi_{2}^{f} \\ y_{2}^{f}\phi_{3}^{f} & y_{1}^{f}\phi_{2}^{f} & y_{2}^{f}\phi_{1}^{f} \\ y_{2}^{f}\phi_{2}^{f} & y_{2}^{f}\phi_{1}^{f} & y_{1}^{f}\phi_{3}^{f} \end{pmatrix} \qquad f = u, d, e$$

From the superpotential  $W_Y$ , quark and charged-lepton Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Y^f &= y_1^f \left[ F_1 H \bar{f}_1 \phi_1 + F_2 H \bar{f}_2 \phi_2 + F_3 H \bar{f}_3 \phi_3 \right] \\ &+ y_2^f \left[ (F_1 H \bar{f}_2 + F_2 H \bar{f}_1) \phi_3 + (F_3 H \bar{f}_1 + F_1 H \bar{f}_3) \phi_2 + (F_2 H \bar{f}_3 + F_3 H \bar{f}_2) \phi_1 \right] + h.c. \,, \end{aligned}$$

 $F_i$ : LH fermion,  $f_i$ : RH fermion, H: Higgs,  $\phi_i$ : flavon scalar  $\Rightarrow$ <u>down-</u>quark and charged-lepton masses

$$M_{f}^{D} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1}^{f} \phi_{1}^{f} & y_{2}^{f} \phi_{3}^{f} & y_{2}^{f} \phi_{2}^{f} \\ y_{2}^{f} \phi_{3}^{f} & y_{1}^{f} \phi_{2}^{f} & y_{2}^{f} \phi_{1}^{f} \\ y_{2}^{f} \phi_{2}^{f} & y_{2}^{f} \phi_{1}^{f} & y_{1}^{f} \phi_{3}^{f} \end{pmatrix} \qquad f = u, d, \epsilon$$

assume a vacuum/flavon alignment:  $\langle \phi^f \rangle = v_\phi^f(0,r^f,1)$ 

$$M_{f}^{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^{f} & a^{f}r^{f} \\ a^{f} & b^{f}r^{f} & 0 \\ a^{f}r^{f} & 0 & b^{f} \end{pmatrix} \qquad a^{f} \equiv y_{2}^{f}v_{\phi}^{f} \quad \& \quad b^{f} \equiv y_{1}^{f}v_{\phi}^{f}$$

impose now the mass invariants  $(m_i^f: measured fermion masses)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} M_f^D &= b^f \left( 1 + r^f \right) &\stackrel{!}{=} -m_1^f + m_2^f + m_3^f \,, \\ \operatorname{tr} (M_f^D)^2 &= \left[ 2(a^f)^2 + (b^f)^2 \right] \left[ 1 + (r^f)^2 \right] \stackrel{!}{=} (m_1^f)^2 + (m_2^f)^2 + (m_3^f)^2 \,, \\ \operatorname{det} M_f^D &= -(a^f)^2 b^f \left[ 1 + (r^f)^3 \right] &\stackrel{!}{=} -m_1^f m_2^f m_3^f \,, \end{aligned}$$

impose now the mass invariants ( $m_i^f$ : measured fermion masses)

$$\operatorname{tr} M_f^D = b^f (1+r^f) \qquad \stackrel{!}{=} -m_1^f + m_2^f + m_3^f ,$$
  

$$\operatorname{tr} (M_f^D)^2 = [2(a^f)^2 + (b^f)^2][1+(r^f)^2] \stackrel{!}{=} (m_1^f)^2 + (m_2^f)^2 + (m_3^f)^2 ,$$
  

$$\operatorname{det} M_f^D = -(a^f)^2 b^f [1+(r^f)^3] \qquad \stackrel{!}{=} -m_1^f m_2^f m_3^f ,$$

taking the hierarchical sol:  $r^f \approx (m_2^f - m_1^f)/m_3^f \ll 1$ ,  $(a^f)^2 \approx m_1^f m_2^f$ ,  $b^f \approx m_3^f$ 

$$M_{f}^{D} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{m_{1}^{f}m_{2}^{f}} & \frac{m_{2}^{f}-m_{1}^{f}}{m_{3}^{f}}\sqrt{m_{1}^{f}m_{2}^{f}} \\ \sqrt{m_{1}^{f}m_{2}^{f}} & m_{2}^{f}-m_{1}^{f} & 0 \\ \frac{m_{2}^{f}-m_{1}^{f}}{m_{3}^{f}}\sqrt{m_{1}^{f}m_{2}^{f}} & 0 & m_{3}^{f} \end{pmatrix},$$

impose now the mass invariants ( $m_i^f$ : measured fermion masses)

$$\operatorname{tr} M_f^D = b^f (1+r^f) \qquad \stackrel{!}{=} -m_1^f + m_2^f + m_3^f,$$
  

$$\operatorname{tr} (M_f^D)^2 = [2(a^f)^2 + (b^f)^2][1+(r^f)^2] \stackrel{!}{=} (m_1^f)^2 + (m_2^f)^2 + (m_3^f)^2,$$
  

$$\operatorname{det} M_f^D = -(a^f)^2 b^f [1+(r^f)^3] \qquad \stackrel{!}{=} -m_1^f m_2^f m_3^f,$$

taking the hierarchical sol:  $r^f\approx (m_2^f-m_1^f)/m_3^f\ll 1\,,\;(a^f)^2\approx m_1^fm_2^f\,,\;b^f\approx m_3^f$ 

$$M_{f}^{D} \approx \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \sqrt{m_{1}^{f}m_{2}^{f}} & \frac{m_{2}^{f}-m_{1}^{f}}{m_{3}^{f}}\sqrt{m_{1}^{f}m_{2}^{f}} \\ \sqrt{m_{1}^{f}m_{2}^{f}} & m_{2}^{f}-m_{1}^{f} & 0 \\ \frac{m_{2}^{f}-m_{1}^{f}}{m_{3}^{f}}\sqrt{m_{1}^{f}m_{2}^{f}} & 0 & m_{3}^{f} \end{array} \right)$$

For down-quarks

$$\Rightarrow \quad \tan \theta_C \approx \frac{(M_d^D)_{12}}{(M_d^D)_{22}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} \qquad \text{Gatto-Sartori-Tonin} \quad \textcircled{\texttt{G}}$$

A stringy flavor

Down-quark and charged-lepton sectors are symmetric

$$\Rightarrow \quad \tan \theta_C^e \approx \frac{(M_e^D)_{12}}{(M_e^D)_{22}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_\mu}} \qquad \text{leptonic Gatto-Sartori-Tonin} \quad \textcircled{\bigcirc}$$

Down-quark and charged-lepton sectors are symmetric

$$\Rightarrow \quad \tan \theta_C^e \approx \frac{(M_e^D)_{12}}{(M_e^D)_{22}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_{\mu}}} \qquad \text{leptonic Gatto-Sartori-Tonin} \quad \textcircled{\bigcirc}$$

Down-quark and charged-lepton sectors are symmetric

$$\Rightarrow \quad \tan \theta_C^e \approx \frac{(M_e^D)_{12}}{(M_e^D)_{22}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_{\mu}}} \qquad \text{leptonic Gatto-Sartori-Tonin} \quad \textcircled{\bigcirc}$$

BUT all other quark and charged-lepton mixing angles are smaller than observed

Additional novel consequence

$$\frac{m_s - m_d}{m_b} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{m_\mu - m_e}{m_\tau}.$$

more stringent than  $b - \tau$  unification  $\Im$ 

From the superpotential  $W_Y$ , renormalizable neutrino Lagrangian is

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\nu} &= y_{1}^{\nu} \left[ L_{1} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{1} + L_{2} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{2} + L_{3} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{3} \right] \\ &+ \lambda_{1} \left[ \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\nu} + \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\nu} + \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{3}^{\nu} \right] \\ &+ \lambda_{2} \left[ 2 \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{3}^{\nu} + 2 \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\nu} + 2 \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\nu} \right] \end{split}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  type I see-saw possible!!  $\bigcirc$ 

From the superpotential  $W_Y$ , renormalizable neutrino Lagrangian is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\nu} & = & y_{1}^{\nu} \left[ L_{1} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{1} + L_{2} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{2} + L_{3} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{3} \right] \\ & + & \lambda_{1} \left[ \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\nu} + \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\nu} + \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{3}^{\nu} \right] \\ & + & \lambda_{2} \left[ 2 \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{3}^{\nu} + 2 \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\nu} + 2 \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\nu} \right] \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Rightarrow & \text{type I see-saw possible} !! & \bigcirc \\ \Rightarrow \text{RH neutrino masses masses} \end{array}$ 

1

$$M_{RH} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \bar{\phi}_1^{\nu} & \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_2^{\nu} & \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_2^{\nu} \\ \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_3^{\nu} & \lambda_1 \bar{\phi}_2^{\nu} & \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_1^{\nu} \\ \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_2^{\nu} & \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_1^{\nu} & \lambda_1 \bar{\phi}_3^{\nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

From the superpotential  $W_Y$ , renormalizable neutrino Lagrangian is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\nu} & = & y_{1}^{\nu} \left[ L_{1} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{1} + L_{2} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{2} + L_{3} H_{u} \bar{\nu}_{3} \right] \\ & + & \lambda_{1} \left[ \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\nu} + \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\nu} + \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{3}^{\nu} \right] \\ & + & \lambda_{2} \left[ 2 \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\phi}_{3}^{\nu} + 2 \bar{\nu}_{1} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\nu} + 2 \bar{\nu}_{2} \bar{\nu}_{3} \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\nu} \right] \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Rightarrow & \text{type I see-saw possible} !! & \bigcirc \\ \Rightarrow \text{RH neutrino masses masses} \end{array}$ 

1

$$M_{RH} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \bar{\phi}_1^{\nu} & \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_3^{\nu} & \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_2^{\nu} \\ \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_3^{\nu} & \lambda_1 \bar{\phi}_2^{\nu} & \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_1^{\nu} \\ \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_2^{\nu} & \lambda_2 \bar{\phi}_1^{\nu} & \lambda_1 \bar{\phi}_3^{\nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

assume a vacuum/flavon alignment:  $\langle \bar{\phi}^{\nu} \rangle = v_{\nu_3} (R_1, \delta, 1)$ 

$$\begin{split} M_{\nu} &= \lambda \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \delta - R^2 R_1^2 & R(-1 + RR_1 \delta) & R(-\delta^2 + RR_1) \\ R(-1 + RR_1 \delta) & R_1 - R^2 \delta^2 & R(R\delta - R_1^2) \\ R(-\delta^2 + RR_1) & R(R\delta - R_1^2) & R_1 \delta - R^2 \end{array} \right), \quad R = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \,, \; \lambda = \frac{y_1^2 \langle H_u \rangle^2}{function(R_1, R, \delta)} \end{split}$$

Correlation atmospheric–reactor mixing angles compatible with best fit Forero, Tortola, Valle (2014)



- atmospheric mixing angle:  $51.3^{o} \lesssim \theta_{23} \lesssim 53.1^{o}$  (second octant)  $\bigcirc$
- reactor mixing angle:  $7.8^{o} \lesssim \theta_{12} \lesssim 8.9^{o}$
- $6meV \lesssim m_{\nu_1} \lesssim 6.8meV$ ,  $65meV \lesssim \sum m_{\nu} \lesssim 70meV$  ③

Correlation atmospheric-reactor mixing angles compatible with best fit Forero, Tortola, Valle (2014)



Saúl Ramos-Sánchez - UNAM

۲

A stringy flavor



•  $\Delta(54)$  (and other) flavor symmetries from compactification geometry:



• Full classification of  $\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_3$  heterotic orbifolds with  $\sim 800$  nice models



- Full classification of  $\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_3$  heterotic orbifolds with  $\sim 800$  nice models
- 90% of them exhibit  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry



- Full classification of  $\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_3$  heterotic orbifolds with  $\sim 800$  nice models
- 90% of them exhibit  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry
- Strong stringy constraints on pheno



- Full classification of  $\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_3$  heterotic orbifolds with  $\sim 800$  nice models
- 90% of them exhibit  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry
- Strong stringy constraints on pheno
- Leading to
  - $6meV \lesssim m_{\nu_1} \lesssim 6.8meV$ ,  $65meV \lesssim \sum m_{\nu} \lesssim 70meV$
  - correct masses for quarks and leptons
  - Gatto-Sartori-Tonin in down-sector
  - funny unification mass relation 🙁
  - Inormal hierarchy for neutrino masses
  - 💿 "predict" neutrino mixing angles 😊



- Full classification of  $\mathbb{Z}_3\times\mathbb{Z}_3$  heterotic orbifolds with  $\sim 800$  nice models
- 90% of them exhibit  $\Delta(54)$  flavor symmetry
- Strong stringy constraints on pheno
- Leading to
  - $6meV \lesssim m_{\nu_1} \lesssim BUT$
  - 2 correct masses for
  - 3 Gatto-Sartori-To
  - funny unification
  - ormal hierarchy
  - "predict" neutrir

- bad quark mixing angles
- proton decay
- unjustified vacuum alignments
- SUSY breaking not understood